

OFFICE OF THE APPELLATE AUTHORITY
DELHI RIGHT TO INFORMATION ACT 2001

PUBLIC GRIEVANCES COMMISSION
Govt. of National Capital Territory of Delhi

Date of order : 23rd April, 2018

Appellant : **Shri Rajendra Gupta**

Competent Authority : **Chief Vigilance Officer,
Vigilance Department,
North Delhi Municipal Corporation**
Dr. Shyama Prasad Mukherjee Civic Centre
Jawahar Lal Nehru Road,
Delhi – 110 002.

Appeal No. : 560/2017/PGC/DRI/NDMC
Application filed on : 28.08.2017
Response of Competent Authority : Not satisfied with the response
Appeal filed on : 12/10/2017
First hearing in PGC on : 23/04/2018

1. Brief facts of the Appeal

Shri Rajendra Gupta sought information, during August, 2017, from the Competent Authority under Delhi RTI Act, 2001, i.e Chief Vigilance Officer, Vigilance Department, North Delhi Municipal Corporation, seeking information on total 6 queries.

Shri Rajender Baigi did receive a reply from the Office of Chief Vigilance Officer, Vigilance Department, North Delhi Municipal Corporation. However, being aggrieved with the same, he filed an Appeal during October, 2017, before the Appellate Authority / PGC, under Section 7 of the Delhi Right to Information Act, 2001.

2. Proceedings in the Public Grievances Commission

The PGC has convened its first hearing on 23rd April, 2018. At today's hearing on 23/04/2018, the attendance was as follows :

Appellant : Present

Respondent : Shri R.P. Gupta, E.E. (Vig.), NDMC,

3. Relevant facts emerging during the hearing

- 3.1 At today's hearing on 23/04/2018, representative of the department submitted that a reply had already been sent to the appellant vide letter dated 25/09/2017 (copy placed in the file).
- 3.2 On perusal of the reply furnished by the department, it has been observed that the department had provided the information in a single para, which too is not satisfactory, hence the information provided by the department is not acceptable.
- 3.3 Competent Authority is directed to furnish a revised comprehensive point-wise information to the appellant separately in respect of each and every query raised by the appellant in his DRTI application.

4. Directions of the Appellate Authority / PGC

- 4.1 Competent Authority i.e. Chief Vigilance Officer, North DMC is directed to furnish a revised reply to the appellant, as advised in para 3.2 and 3.3 above within 15 days from the date of issue of this Order, with a copy to the Commission.
- 4.2 The reply should be furnished to the appellant under the signatures of Competent Authority, properly stamped, having the name of the Competent Authority (documents, if any, appended with the reply should be certified either by the Competent Authority or an official deputed by the Competent Authority).

With the above observations and directions, the present appeal case is ordered to be closed before the Appellate Authority / Member (PGC)

**(SUDHIR YADAV)
APPELLATE AUTHORITY / MEMBER (PGC)**

Copy to:

1. The Chief Vigilance Officer, Vigilance Department, North DMC, Dr. Shyama Prasad Mukherjee Civic Centre, Jawahar Lal Nehru Marg, Delhi – 110 002.
2. Shri Rajendra Gupta